## IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 31-33 without prejudice and amend the claims as follows:

- 1. (Canceled)
- 2. (Canceled)
- 3. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

recording contents of the each word contained in a first document as pre-edited contents;

receiving user edits replacing each problem word contained in the <u>first</u> document with a respective replacement word;

after receiving the user edits, recording the contents of the each word contained in the edited first document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the <u>respective</u> replacement words;

storing the user-replaced problem words and <u>respective</u> replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure <u>and</u> wherein each individual record includes a field indicating a number of times a respective <u>user-replaced problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;</u> [[and]]

assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device, wherein the formatting definition is reflective, on a display device displaying the respective problem word, of the number of times the respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;

determining and indicating whether one or more problem words are present in a second document utilizing the first data structure; and

Page 2

indicating each problem word present in the second document with its respective formatting definition.

- 4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing corresponding post-edited content and a changed indication field containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.
- 5. (Canceled)
- 6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 4, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word based on the number of times a respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word.
- 7. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 6, wherein the formatting definition is based on the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 8. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3, further comprising assigning a 7, wherein problem words assigned with the same priority value are assigned the same formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
- 10. (Canceled)

Page 3

385523 1

## 11. (Canceled)

12. (Currently Amended) A computer readable medium containing a software program which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform an operation for providing user-specific error analysis to Identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the operation comprising:

recording contents of the each word contained in a first document as pre-edited contents;

receiving user edits replacing each problem word contained in the <u>first</u> document with a respective replacement word;

after receiving the user edits, recording the centents of the each word contained in a first document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the <u>respective</u> replacement words;

storing the user-replaced problem words and <u>respective</u> replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure <u>and</u> wherein each individual record includes a field indicating a number of times a respective user-replaced problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word; [[and]]

assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device, wherein the formatting definition is reflective, on a display device displaying the respective problem word, of the number of times the respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;

determining and indicating whether one or more problem words are present in a second document utilizing the first data structure; and

indicating each problem word present in the second document with its respective formatting definition.

Page 4

- 13. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and postedited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing corresponding post-edited content and a changed indication field containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.
- 14. (Canceled)
- 15. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 13, wherein the operation further comprises assigning a priority value to each problem word <u>based</u> on the number of times a respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word.
- 16. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the formatting definition is based on the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 17. (Currently Amended) The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the operation further comprises assigning a-16, wherein problem words assigned with the same priority value are assigned the same formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 18. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
- 19. (Canceled)

## 20. (Canceled)

21. (Currently Amended) A computer comprising a memory device, a processor configured to access the memory device and configure to execute an operation for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the operation comprising:

recording contents of the each word contained in a first document as pre-edited contents:

receiving user edits replacing each problem word contained in the <u>first</u> document with a respective replacement word;

after receiving the user edits, recording the contents of the each word contained in the edited first document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the respective replacement words;

storing the user-replaced problem words and <u>respective</u> replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure <u>and</u> wherein each individual record includes a field indicating a number of times a respective user-replaced problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word; [[and]]

assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device, wherein the formatting definition is reflective, on a display device displaying the respective problem word, of the number of times the respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;

determining and indicating whether one or more problem words are present in a second document utilizing the first data structure; and

indicating each problem word present in the second document with its respective formatting definition.

22. (Previously Presented) The computer of claim 21, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents

Page 6

385523\_1

PATENT Atty, Dkt. No. ROC920000014US1

MPS Ref. No.: IBM2K0014

to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing corresponding post-edited content and a changed indication field containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.

- 23. (Canceled)
- 24. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 22, wherein the operation further comprises assigning a priority value to each problem word <u>based on the number of times a respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word.</u>
- 25. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 24, wherein the formatting definition is based on the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.
- 26. (Currently Amended) The computer of claim 21, wherein the operation further comprises assigning a 25, wherein problem words assigned with the same priority value are assigned the same formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.
- 27. (Original) The computer of claim 26, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.
- 28. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 3, further comprising: receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

29. (Previously Presented) The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the operation further comprises:

receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

30. (Previously Presented) The computer of claim 21, wherein the operation further comprises:

receiving a user identification; and storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

- 31. (Canceled) The method of claim 6, further comprising: assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective formatting definition.
- 32. (Canceled) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the operation further comprises:

assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective formatting definition.

33. (Canceled) The computer of claim 24, wherein the operation further comprises: assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective formatting definition.